

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/759,198	01/20/2004	Katsuji Andou	247709US2	7758
	7590 01/22/200 AK, MCCLELLAND,	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE ST	TREET	LE, THAO X		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•	2814		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 01/22/2007 PA				ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	Application No. Applicant(s)						
		10/759,	198	ANDOU, KATSUJ	ı				
		Examine	er ·	Art Unit					
		Thao X.		2814					
- Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communicate Reply	tion appears on th	ne cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	ldress				
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 (1) (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no e cation. ory period will apply and by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a re- will expire SIX (6) MON' oplication to become AB.	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this country ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on 11/02/07.							
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
,	, <u> </u>								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	on of Claims			•					
4) 🖂 (4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,4 and 6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌 (5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ (☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) 🗌 (<u> </u>								
Application	on Papers								
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)[a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* \$4		·	,	received					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)			ummary (PTO-413)					
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)				
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date	U1301U0)	6) Other:		- · •=,				

Application/Control Number: 10/759,198

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 3, 4, and 6 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse filed on 06/19/06. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 20020185726 to North et al. in view of US 5172213 to Zimmerman or US 5606201 to Lutz

Regarding claim 1, North discloses in fig. 4 or 6 a semiconductor device comprising a conductive pipe 25 including an inner surface forming an inner space shaping a path of refrigerant liquid [0016] and an outer surface including a plane partially formed thereof; a power semiconductor element 10 fixed onto the plane in the outer surface of the conductive pipe 25 through a bonding layer 24; and an external connecting terminal 12, including an inner lead part including a tip portion bonded onto

Art Unit: 2814

the plane in the outer surface of the conductive pipe 25 and an outer lead part continuous with the inner lead part; aid tip portion being disposed onto said plane away from said power semiconductor element 10 and said bonding layer thereof, fig. 4;

But, North does not discloses a mold resin covering the surface of the power semiconductor element, the whole of the inner lead part of the external connecting terminal, and the outer surface of the conductive pipe.

However, Zimmerman discloses a semiconductor device in fig. 5 comprises a power semiconductor element 12, a lead 48, and a mold resin covers the whole surface of the power semiconductor element. At the time the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the mold resin teaching of Zimmerman with North's device, because it would have provided the protection to the device.

In addition, Lutz also discloses a semiconductor device comprising a power chip 3, a coolant channel 13, col. 7 line 19, and a mold resin 25, col. 7 lines 45 covers the whole surface of the power semiconductor element and inner lead, fig. 2. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the mold resin teaching of Lutz with North's device, because it would have provided the protection to the device, col. 7 lines 45-46.

Regarding claim 2, North discloses the semiconductor device wherein the conductive pipe further includes an insulative film 22 [0020] formed in the whole of inner surface, and a conductive pipe 19 includes a refrigerant liquid inlet continuous with one

Art Unit: 2814

end portion of said conductive pipe and a refrigerant liquid outlet continuous with the other end portion of said conductive pipe.

With respect to the mold resin covers the whole power semiconductor element; see discussion in claim 1.

Regarding claim 5, North discloses the semiconductor device wherein the power semiconductor element 10 is electrically connected to the inner lead part 12 of the external connecting terminal 17 via the conductive pipe 25, fig. 4.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 and 5 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Page 5

Art Unit: 2814

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao X. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-1708. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M. Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272 -1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

12 Jan. 2007

THAO X. LE
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER